IN THE COURT OF MUHAMMAD YAR GONDAL
SESSIONS JUDGE, ISLAMABAD (EAST)

Sessions Case No._____of _____
Sessions Trial No._____of_____

The State.Vs

(1) ShahidMahmoodson ofGhulam Mustafa, r/o Jasot, Tumair, Tehsil and District Islamabad. (custody)

Case FIR No	:	27/2013
Dated	:	01.03.2013
Under Sections	:	302/324/337-F(v)/337-D, 148, 149 P.P.C.
Police Station	:	Nilore,Islamabad
Date of Institution	:	Remanded from August Supreme Court of Pakistan on 19.12.2025.
Date of Decision	:	16.04.2026

Present: Accused Shahid Mehmood in custody.
Malik Fakhar HayyatAdvocate, learned counsel for accused Faizan.
Qazi Adil Advocate, learned counsel for complainant.
Nazar Iqbal Khetran, learned ADDP for state.

JUDGMENT

1. Accused Shahid Mehmood was sent to court for facing the trial in case FIR No. 27/2020, dated01.03.2013, under Sections302/324/337-F(v)/337-D, 148, 149 P.P.C, registered at Police StationNilore, Islamabad.

2. Background of this case in brief is that instant case was registered on 01.03.2013 against the present accused Shahid Mehmood and others. After completion of investigation, report u/s 173 Cr.P.C. was submitted and after meeting theprocedural requirements trial was commenced against the present accused and others by framing charge on 19.10.2017. On completion of trial vide judgment dated 24.04.2019,the present accused was convicted and sentenced to death alongwith fine and compensation for murder of deceased Muhammad Rafique whereas rest of the accused were acquitted by giving benefit of doubt to them. Reference u/s 374 Cr.P.C. for confirmation of death sentence awarded to the present accused was sent to the Hon'ble Islamabad High Court, Islamabad. The present accused filed appeal against the conviction and sentence and the Hon'ble Islamabad High Court, Islamabad after hearing the appeal vide its judgment dated 16.12.2021 in criminal appeal No. 113/19 dismissed

the same and murder reference No. 12/19 titled "The State Vs. Shahid Mehmood" was answered in affirmative. Consequently, the sentence of death awarded to the present accused was confirmed. Feeling aggrieved from the judgment of Hon'ble Islamabad High Court, Islamabad the present accused assailed the same in appeal before August Supreme Court of Pakistan and the August Supreme Court vide its judgment dated 19.12.2025 in criminal appeal No. 250 of 2023 and criminal petition No. 230 of 2022 was pleased to hold that:

> *"3. At the very outset, we have noted that the role attributed to the petitioner in the FIR, as well as, in the statements of the prosecution eye-witnesses, of making a fire shot on the chest of Rafique (deceased), has not been put to the petitioner, in his statement recorded under section 342 Cr.P.C. Consequently, the petitioner was not provided an opportunity to rebut the said allegation and to produce evidence in his defence, if any, to disprove the above-mentioned allegation. It is also noteworthy that under section 342 Cr.P.C, it was duty of the Court to put questions to theaccused/petitioner, which were necessary for the just decision of the case but the above-referred important question was not put to the petitioner by the Court. However, it is by now well settled that no party should be penalized due to any omission or act of the Court. We are, therefore, of the view that in order to provide an opportunity to the petitioner to bring on record his stance in reply to the abovementioned prosecution evidence and to produce evidence in his defence, the case should be remanded back to the learned trial Court, for decision afresh.*
>
> *4. Consequently, this appeal is allowed to the extent that the case of the petitioner is remanded back to the learned trial Court for decision afresh. The learned trial Court shall record a fresh statement of the petitioner under section 342 Cr.P.C, wherein, the abovementioned prosecution evidence will be put to him and the petitioner will be provided an opportunity to rebut the said evidence and to produce evidence in his defence, if so desired. As the occurrence of this case pertains to the year 2013, therefore, the learned trial Court is directed to finally decide the case of the petitioner within a period of three (03) months from the date of receipt of an attested copy of this order."*

On receipt of order of August Supreme Court of Pakistan and in compliance thereof statement of accused u/s 342 Cr.P.C. has been recorded. Accused has not produced any defense evidence nor he has opted to appear as witness of his own u/s 340(2) Cr.P.C. and after hearing the arguments the trial has been concluded.

3. Succinctly, police of P.S Nilore, Islamabad registered instant case vide FIR No. 27/13, dated 01-03-2013, offence U/S 302/324, 337-F (V), 337-D, 148/149 PPC, against accused (i) Shahid Mahmood (ii) Nasir (iii) Farhad Abbasi (iv) Aftab since P.O (v) Muhammad Irfan, (vi) Aziz urRehman, (vii) Faisal Yaqoob, (viii) AdilMahmood, on the application (Ex-PAD) of Muhammad Altaf (complainant) son of Noor Ellahi. It is alleged that complainant along with both the deceased and injured persons was employed as a chowkidar on the property of Muhammad Sadiq. On 01-03-2013, when he alongwith his other chowkidars Muhammad Rafiq,GhulamSafeer, Muhammad Younas and Israr Ahmed, were present at their duty at about 04:40pm, in two black Vigo Vehicles, Raja Shahid Mahmood, his brother Aftab, NasirMahmood alias Thipro, Farhad Abbasi alongwith six (6) unknown persons armed with Kalashnikovsand other fire arm weapons came there and shouted to leave the property. Muhammad Rafique asked him to talk with their owner. Upon this, Shahid Mehmood fired at Muhammad Rafique who fell down. Muhammad Younas came forward and Nasir fired upon him and he also fell down. GhulamSafeer rushed to his brother upon which Aftab since P.O fired at him, who also fell down. Complainant and Israr fled away to save the life, Farhad Abbasi fired behind them which shot hit Israr Ahmed at his right foot who also fell down. He (complainant) saved his life by seeking mercy from accused. Accused made aerial firing and left the place towards vehicle, Muhammad Rafique and Younas died at the spot. The occurrence was witnessed by him and injured Safeer and Israr. On hearing firing, police arrived at the spot and shifted the dead bodies and injured to the Hospital. Motive behind the occurrence was stated to be that accused wanted to occupy the land of owner of the chowkidars.

4. On completion of investigation, several reports U/S 173 Cr.P.C were submitted. After having complied with the provision U/S 265-Cr.P.C. the accused were charge sheeted on 19-10-2017. Accused denied the charge and claimed trial.

5. In order to bring whom guilt of the accusedpersons, the prosecution produced following evidence:-

i. Muhammad Zulfiqar (PW-1) identified the dead body of Muhammad Rafique, as per identification memo Ex.PA. He also signed seizer memo Ex.PB, Ex.PC and receipt of handing over dead body Ex.PD.

ii. SajidMahmood, (PW-2), is the marginal witness of seizer memo Ex.PE, whereby Kalashnikov alongwith live rounds were recovered at the pointation of accused Shahid Mahmood.

iii. Malik MunirHussain, (PW-3), is the marginal witness of seizer memo Ex.PF, whereby Kalashnikov was recovered at the pointation of accused Irfan.

iv. Amir Ameer, (PW-4), is the marginal witness of recovery memos Ex.PG & Ex.PH, whereby Kalashnikov alongwith live rounds and 12 Bore rifle were recovered at the pointation of accused Aziz-ur-Rehman and Faisal.

v. Muhammad Sadiq, (PW-5), on 10-03-2013 he handed over registries in respect of ownership of land to the police, which were taken into possession vide recovery memo Ex.PJ. He signed the memo its as marginal witness.

vi. Talib Hussain SI (PW-6) chalked out FIRs Ex.PK and Ex.PL under Section 13.A.O on 02-06-2013.

vii. Muhammad Tufail, (PW-7) is the marginal witness of search memo Ex.PM, whereby Muhammad Anar conducted personal search of accused Muhammad Irfan.

viii. ArifHussain ASI (PW-8) chalked out FIR Ex.PN, U/S 13 AO on 23-10-2013.

ix. BakhatMunir 4054/HC (PW-9), handed over mobile phone data comprising of nine pages Ex P15 to the 1.0. which he taken into possession vide seizer memo Ex.PO.

x. Umar Hayat S1 (PW-10) chalked out FIR No. 29. Mark-A U/S 13AO, on 03-03-2013.

xi. Syed Hussain Bahadar Ali, Executive Magistrate, (PW-11) supervised the process of identification parade of accused Aziz-ur-Rehman and Faisal Yaqoob and prepared his report Ex.PQ.

xii. Muhammad Shafiq SI (PW-12) visited the place of occurrence alongwith SHO on the eventful day. SHO collected 64 empties

of Kalashnikov, 12 empties of 12-Bore and blood stained earth and he attested the memo.

xiii. Wajid Ali, 6683/LHC (PW-13) deposited the different parcels in the concerned laboratories in different dates.

xiv. NasarUllah, Constable (PW-14) is marginal witness to recovery memos whereby blood through cotton from the places of both the deceased, 64 empties Ex.P16/1-64 of Kalashnikov and 13 empties of 12-Bore Ex.P17/1-13 and signed the memos.

xv. Muhammad Jehangir, 2557/HC, (PW-15) he was posted as moharrar Police Station Nilore. He received different case properties and kept the same in Mall Khanna and also handed over parcels to Wajid Ali for depositing the same in the FSL.

xvi. AsadMahmood Constable (PW-16) is marginal witness to recovery memo Ex.PW16/1, whereby record of FIR No. 141/12 was produced to the I.O.

xvii. Munir Ahmed SI (PW-17) attested the memo Ex.PW17/1 whereby LO, recovered wrist watch and LD card of accused Farhad Abbasi during his body search.

xviii. Maryam Mumtaz, Director Excise ICT (PW-18) conducted identification parade of accused Muhammad Irfan vide identification parade Ex.PV through witnesses Muhammad Altaf and GhulamSafeer.

xix. Kamran Cheema, Assistant Commissioner. (PW-19) supervised the process of identification parade of accused Muhammad Irfan, vide report Ex.PW through witness Asrar Ahmed.

xx. Muhammad Akram ASI (PW-20) chalked out FIR No. 27. dated 01-03-2013 U/S 302/324, 148/149, PPC and FIR No. 55 dated 06-05-2013 of 2013 U/S 13AO of P.S Nilore.

xxi. Faisal Usman 2544/C (PW-21) is the marginal witness to memo Ex.PR whereby 1.0 took into his possession identification parade report of accused Muhammad Irfan, Aziz-ur-Rehman and Faisal Yaqoob.

xxii. Dr. Farrukh Kamal, (PW-22) conducted postmortem of deceased Muhammad Younas on 01-03-2013 at 08:15pm and noticed following injuries:-

1. An entry wound 2x2 cm circular and inverted margins blood stained 8cm from armpit, left back of chest.

2. Exit wound 4x2cm irregular with averted margins 19 cm from above, 8cm from armpit, left back of chest.

As per his opinion, deceased Muhammad Younasdied due to fired arm injuries.

He also conducted postmortem of deceased Muhammad Rafique on the same day at 09:15pm and found flowing injuries:-

1. An entry wound 2x1cm circular with inverted margins. Inverted margins were blood stained and 13cm from above and 12cm from middle left front of chest

2. Exit wound 3x2cm irregular, averted and blood stained margins 21 cm from above and 11 cm from armpit, left back of chest.

As per his opinion, deceased Muhammad Rafique. died due to fired arm injuries This PW also examined injured Asrar Ahmed at 06:53pm on the day of occurrence and found following injuries on his person.

i. An entry wound 1xlem circular, with inverted margins sole of the right foot was blood stained
ii. Exit wound dorsum of right front above big-toe with bullet exposed Bullet was removed, during dressing and was handed over to police.

He also examined injured GhulamSafeer at 06:23pm on the day of occurrence and found following injuries on his person.

i. An entry wound 1x1 cm circular, with inverted margins, blood stained posterior of abdomen and right iliac crest.

ii. Exit wound 10x15cm, irregular averted margins blood stained, right lumber [LF] region.

He highlighted on record his reports Ex.PS, Ex.PV, Ex.PZ and Ex.PAA.

xxiii. FalakSher, Patwari (PW-23) visited the place of occurrence on 04-03-2013 and prepared site plan Ex.PR.

xxiv. Naeem-ul-Hasnain ASI (PW-24) received parcel of Judge Kalashnikov on 20-05-2016 from Muhammad Tahseen SI for its safe custody in Mall-Khanna and subsequently dispatched the same to the FSL.

xxv. Muhammad Sajid (PW-25) identified the dead body of Muhammad Younas vide identification memo of Ex.PX and received the dead body vide receipt Ex.PY. He also attested memo Ex.PZ, whereby last wound clothes of deceased Muhammad Younas, were taken into possession by the I.O.

xxvi. Muhammad Asif, Constable 1139, (PW-26) took parcel of Kalashnikov to FSL on 31-05-2016.

xxvii. Muhammad Akbar SI. (PW-27) conducted partial investigation, arrested accused Farhad Abbasi and during his personal search, vide memo Ex.PW-17/1 took into his possession wrist watch and ID card of accused. He obtained 3 days of remand of accused. On 30-04-2016 investigation was transferred.

xxviii. Zafarlqbal 5622/C (PW-28) is the marginal witness of memo Ex.PAB whereby 9mm pistol and license were taken into possession on the pointation of accused Adil.

xxix. Sabir Ali 2043/C (PW-29) is the marginal witness of memo Ex.PAC, whereby Kalashnikov was recovered at the pointation of accused NasirMahmood.

xxx. MuhammadNajeeb Shah, DSP Special Branch (PW-30) conducted partial investigation of the case to the extent of arrest of accused Shahid Mahmood and also effected recovery of Kalashnikov at his pointation.

xxxi. Muhammadlqbal SI (PW-31) issued arrest card of accused AdilMahmood, on 08-06-2017.

xxxii. Muhammad Altaf complainant appeared as (PW-32) he testified in support of contents of his application Ex.PAD. He stated to have identified accused Aziz-ur-Rehman and Faisal Yaqoob during investigation parade. He further identified Vego Dala No. 222, vide Memo Ex.PAE.

xxxiii. Ghulam Safeer (PW-33) is the injured eye witness of the occurrence. He supported the statement of complainant. He also stated to have identified accused Aziz-ur-Rehman and Faisal Yaqoob during investigation parade. He further identified Vego Dala No. 222, vide Memo Ex. PAE.

xxxiv. Muhammad Anar Inspector CTF Islamabad (PW-34) conducted main investigation of this case as elucidated in the foregoing paras.

6. Mr. AamirNadeem Tabish learned SPP for the State while highlighting the serologist (analysis) report Ex.PAR, report of NFSA (fire arms) Ex.PAS and report of PFSA (fire arms) Ex.PAT, given up PW Israr Ahmed being one over, Tahseen SI due to non-availability on the ground of illness and closed the prosecution evidence. As mentioned in para No. 2 of this judgment the case was first decided by this court and then appeal alongwith murder reference was decided by the Hon'ble Islamabad High Court, Islamabad and ultimately has been remanded from the August Supreme Court of Pakistan with direction to decide it afresh.

7. After remand of the case by the order of August Supreme Court of Pakistan, the present accused Shahid Mehmoodhas been examined u/s 342 Cr.P.C on 07.04.2026. He denied the allegations and while answering a question put to himas to why this case is registered against him and why PWs deposed against him, he replied as under:

Reply of accused Shahid Mehmood-

"The allegation against me of making single fire shot upon Muhammad Rafique which allegedly hit on his chest is absolutely false. The alleged occurrence took place on 01.03.2013 at about 04:30PM whereas the FIR was registered on the same day at 08:45PM after inordinate delay of about 04-hours. Complainant and other PWs are admittedly poor employees, who were made tool to falsely implicate and subsequently deposed against me and others. According to the story of FIR police reached at the place of occurrence after hearing the noise of firing but surprisingly, no complaint was sent from the place of occurrence for the registration of FIR, which clearly shows that time was dishonestly consumed in deliberations and consultations for fabricating false story of FIR"

8. The accused has not produced any defense evidence nor he has opted to appear as witness of his own u/s 340(2) Cr.P.C.

9. Learned ADDP for state assisted by learned counsel for complainant argued that accused isnominated in the promptly lodged FIR with specific role of causing fire shot on vital part of the body of deceased Muhammad Rafique; that prosecution has produced evidence of ocular account which remained un-shattered during the cross examination and the same is fully corroborated by medical evidence; that motive part of evidence has been fully established by the prosecution and the same has not been denied or disproved by the accused; that defense has pointed out discrepancies in the evidence which are minor in nature and could not shatter the credible evidence available against the accused; that earlier decision under which the accused was awarded death sentence was upheld by the Hon'ble Islamabad High Court, Islamabad by deciding the murder reference in affirmative. The death sentence awarded to the present accused has not been set-aside by the August Supreme Court of Pakistan on merits rather the case has been remanded on technical ground. He prayed that the accused may kindly be convicted and awarded maximum punishment under the law. The learned counsel for complainant placed his reliance upon the judgment of August Supreme Court of Pakistan reported as 2026 SCMR 205 titled "Muhammad Kamran vs. The State and another".

10. Learned counsel for accused Shahid Mehmood argued that accused has falsely been implicated in the instant case which was lodged with unexplained delay of more than 04 hours; that motive has not been proved by the prosecution;that as per FIR the role of single shot is attributed to the accused

and he has not repeated the fire shot which also is a mitigation circumstance with regard to punishment if awarded; that there are contradictions in statements of the PWs; that the medical evidence and the ocular account are in contradiction inter se; that alleged recovery of weapon of offense from the accused has not matched with crime empties and the stance of the prosecution is not supported by the laboratory reports. He prayed for acquittal of the accused.

11. Arguments heard, record perused.

12. It is cardinal principle of criminal administration of justice that prosecution is bound to prove its case on the basis of its own evidence to rebut the presumption of innocence of the accused. In the instant case prosecution produced 34 witnesses, gist of their evidence has already been mentioned above. From the facts available on record the prosecution evidence can be appraised as under:

MOTIVE:

13. Motive is a double-edged weapon. It can be a reason for commission of offense or it can be a reason for a false implication of accused. In the instant case motive introduced in FIR Ex.PD is that the present accused alongwith his already acquitted co-accused persons and other was intended to illegally occupy the land of landlords of the complainant claiming that it belongs to him. To prove this motive, complainant Muhammad Altaf appeared as PW-32 and reiterated the said facts on oath. It is notable that no Khewat, Khatooni or Khasra number of the place of occurrence is mentioned in the FIR and as per scaled site plan Ex.PR the place of occurrence is situated upon Khasra numbers 755, 838, 1760 & 1837. No document regarding the subject Khasra numbers has been brought on the record by the defence side claiming that the said land was owned by the accused persons and was illegally occupied by the complainant party.Obviously, no dispute of title or possession regarding land/place of occurrence is before this court for adjudication, therefore, the motive part of the occurrence regarding possession of place of occurrence has no so much importance in the instant case. Despite above said fact undoubtedly no person is authorized to take the law in his hands. If the accused party was of the view that their land is in illegal occupation of the complainant party then it was open for them to adopt due course of law and approach the relevant forum rather to trespass upon the said land by taking life of two persons and causing injuries by

attempting upon life of two persons. As regards the motive for false implication is concerned the present accused in his statement recorded u/s 342 crpc has stated that he has been victimized by the influential land mafia by foisting instant case upon him and his family members. The old enemies having blood feud with him have implicated him and his brothers falsely. This stance of the accused Shahid Mehmood taken in his statement u/s 342 crpc is not supported with any piece of evidence, therefore, it is held that the accused Shahid Mehmood has failed to prove the motive for his false implication in this case.

OCULAR ACCOUNT:

14. As per FIR Ex.PD the occurrence took place in broad day light on 01.03.2013 at 04:40 pm and it is alleged in the FIR that present accused Shahid Mehmood alongwith his already acquitted co-accused persons and other while armed with fire arm weapons/Kalashnikovs after farming unlawful assembly and in prosecution of their common object came at the spot and they raised lalkara to the complainant and his companions to leave the place of occurrence and first shot of Kalashnikov was fired by present accused Shahid Mehmood which landed on the chest of Muhammad Rafique (deceased), whereas the remaining accused persons (already acquitted) and other also made firing resulting into death of one Muhammad Younus and causing injuries to the persons of Ghulam Safeer and Israr Ahmed. It is notable that identity of accused has not been challenged anywhere in this case. Time, date and place of occurrence is not disputed. PW-32 & PW-33 namely Muhammad Altaf and injured witness Ghulam Safeer have established the ocular aspect of the case while deposing on oath and their statements recorded on oath still stand unrebutted and unchallenged with regard to the time, date and place of occurrence as well as with regard to the role attributed to the present accused of causing fatal shot on the chest of Muhammad Rafique (deceased). Hence, it is held that prosecution has successfully proved the ocular account of instant case by producing evidence of trust worthy witnesses whom presence at the spot has not been challenged by the accused at any forum.

MEDICAL EVIDENCE:

15. In the occurrence relating to instant case the role attributed to the present accused Shahid Mehmood is of causing fatal shot with his Kalashnikov upon the chest of deceased Muhammad Rafique and the said fact is fully supported by inquest report Ex.PX and corroborated by the postmortem examination report Ex.PV with regard to the time and date of occurrence as

well as with regard to seat of injury and the kind of weapon used. The said facts were established by PW-22 Dr. Farrukh Kamal and PW-34 Muhammad Anaar SI/I.O by deposing before this court on oath.

RECOVERY:

16. The present accused Shahid Mehmood was arrested in this case on 15.10.2013 about more than 08 months from the date of occurrence and during physical remand he made disclosure on 23.10.2013 and got recovered Kalashnikov having 10 live bullets Ex.P-7/1-10 which was taken into possession vide memo Ex.PE. Here the fact is of much importance that after the occurrence the present accused remained at large for period of more than 08 months and during investigation of this case on 03.03.2013 Muhammad Anaar SI/I.O conducted raid at the Dera of Chanbage from where the Kalashnikov was recovered and the same was taken into possession regarding which FIR No. 29 dated 03.03.2013 u/s 13 of Arms Ordinance Police Station Nilore was registered and the said FIR was tendered in evidence by PW-10 as Mark-A. During investigation it was found that the said Kalashnikov was a licensed weapon in the name of present accused Shahid Mehmood. Though the said weapon was made case property of above referred case u/s 13/20/65 Arms Ordinance but the same was also sent to PFSA for forensic analysis and as per reports of PFSA Ex.PAS and Ex.PAT the crime empties recovered from the place of occurrence of this case were matched with the said Kalashnikov/Riffle having No. 1967EY9713. In this way the ocular account finds full corroboration by the forensic report with regard to the kind of weapon used in the occurrence as the same was in the name of the present accused.

17. From above appraisal of evidence of prosecution while keeping in view the defence brought on the record, this court is fully convinced that prosecution has established its case against the present accused Shahid Mehmood on the basis of cogent, coherent, trustworthy and confidence inspiring evidence which stands unrebutted and unchallenged against the present accused. Any minor discrepancies in the statements of witnesses or lapses on the part of the investigation agency cannot be considered to provide any benefit to the accused on technical basis. Guidance is sought from the judgment of August Supreme Court of Pakistan reported in PLD 1996 SC 305 titled "Khursheed Vs. The State" wherein it was held that:

> *"The court's approach while appraising the evidence, should be dynamic and not static. It should keep in view all the facts and circumstances of the case and if it is satisfied that factually the persons charged with the offense had committed the same, it should record the conviction though there might have been some technical lapses on the part of Investigating Agency/prosecution, provided the same have not prejudiced the accused in the fair trial. People are losing faith in the criminal judicial justice system for the reason that in most of the criminal cases, the criminals get away without being punished on technicalities."*

Hence this court feels no hesitation to hold that the present accused Shahid Mehmood is guilty for the commission of Qatal-i-Amd of Muhammad Rafique deceased, therefore, he is convicted u/s 302(b) PPC. Whereas to the extent of remaining offenses it has already been decided that the same have not been proved against the present accused and the said findings is still intact.

18. As regards quantum of sentence, it is notable that the occurrence was committed in broad day light by farming unlawful assembly armed with deadly weapons headed by the present accused/convict and the role attributed to the present accused/convict is of causing fire shot on the chest of deceased which resulted into immediate death of the deceased at the spot. There was no need for repetition of the fire shot upon the deceased who succumbed to the injury caused by single fire shot. The convict remained absconder for considerable period of more than 08 months. There exists no mitigation circumstance with regard to punishment to the convict and the claimed mitigation with regard to punishment cannot be justified in guidance of above referred judgment of August Supreme Court of Pakistan, hence this court is fully convinced that the convict does not deserve any leniency with regard to the punishment, therefore, convict Shahid Mehmood son of Ghulam Mustafa is awarded sentence of death as Tazir subject to confirmation of the same from Hon'ble Islamabad High Court, Islamabad. He shall further pay Rs. 20,00,000/- as compensation amount to the legal heirs of deceased u/s 544-A Cr.P.C and in default of payment of compensation amount, he shall further undergo S.I for 06 months and the compensation amount shall be recovered from him as per law. For execution of his sentence of death awarded to convict Shahid Mehmood he shall be hanged by the neck till he is dead. Separate reference u/s 374 Cr.P.C be sent to Hon'ble Islamabad High Court, Islamabad for confirmation of sentence of death awarded to convict Shahid Mehmood. He has been informed to file an appeal against this judgment within statutory period before Hon'ble Islamabad

High Court, Islamabad. He is already in custody and be sent to the Central Jail Adyala, Rawalpindi alongwith his warrant of conviction and sentence to undergo his sentence as per law. Copy of this judgment be delivered to the convict free of cost today. Copy of the judgment be also sent to Incharge Prosecution Cell Islamabad. Case property shall remain intact till the decision of reference as well as expiry of period of appeal if any and as well as till the arrest of co-accused Aftab alias Shanoo. File shall remain dormant in the record room.

Announced.
16.04.2026

(Muhammad YarGondal)
Sessions Judge, Islamabad (East)

Certified that this judgment consists of Fourteen(14) pages, each of which has been dictated, read over, corrected where necessary and signed by me.

Sessions Judge, Islamabad (East)

Received copy of the judgment today free of cost.

Announced
16.04.2026 (Muhammad Yar Gondal)
Sessions Judge, Islamabad (East)

State...V$... Shahid Mehmood FIR No.27/13 u/$ 302/324 PPC P.$. Nilore

16.04.2026
Present:- Accused Shahid Mehmood in custody.
Nazar Iqbal Khetran, learned ADDP for state.
Learned counsel for accused.
Learned counsel for complainant.

O R D E R

Arguments of learned ADDP heard.

Vide my separate detailed judgment in English of the even date, convict Shahid Mehmood son of Ghulam Mustafa is awarded sentence of death as Tazir subject to confirmation of the same from Hon'ble Islamabad High Court, Islamabad. He shall further pay Rs. 20,00,000/- as compensation amount to the legal heirs of deceased u/s 544-A Cr.P.C and in default of payment of compensation amount, he shall further undergo S.I for 06 months and the compensation amount shall be recovered from him as per law. For execution of his sentence of death awarded to convict Shahid Mehmood he shall be hanged by the neck till he is dead.Separate reference u/s 374 Cr.P.C be sent to Hon'ble Islamabad High Court, Islamabad for confirmation of sentence of death awarded to convict Shahid Mehmood. He has been informed to file an appeal against this judgment within statutory period before Hon'ble Islamabad High Court, Islamabad. He is already in custody and be sent to the Central Jail Adyala, Rawalpindi alongwith his warrant of conviction and sentence to undergo his sentence as per law. Copy of this judgment be delivered to the convict free of cost today. Copy of the judgment be also sent to Incharge Prosecution Cell Islamabad. Case property shall remain intact till the decision of reference as well as expiry of period of appeal if any and as well as till the arrest of co-accused Aftab alias Shanoo. File shall remain dormant in the record room.

Announced
16.04.2026

(Muhammad Yar Gondal)
Sessions Judge, Islamabad (East)

IN THE COURT OF MUHAMMAD YAR GONDAL,
SESSIONS JUDGE, EAST-ISLAMABAD

From

Muhammad Yar Gondal,
Sessions Judge, (East) Islamabad.

To

The Superintendent,
Central Jail, Rawalpindi.

No._____Dated16th April, 2026.

WARRANT OF COMMITMENT UNDER SENTENCE OFDEATH AS TAZIR.

Whereas, at the Sessions held on **16.04.2026**, accused **Shahid Mahmood son of Ghulam Mustafa**, resident of Jasot, Tumair, Tehsil and District Islamabad, was duly tried and convicted by this Court in **FIR No. 27/2020, dated 01.03.2013**, under Sections302/324/337-F(v)/337-D, 148, 149 P.P.C, Police Station Nilore, Islamabad;

And whereas, upon such conviction, he has been sentenced to **death as Ta'zir**, and is further directed to pay **Rs. 20,00,000/- (Rupees Twenty Lac only)** as compensation u/s 544-A Cr.P.C. to the legal heirs of the deceased, and in default of payment thereof, to further undergo **Simple Imprisonment for six (06) months**, and the said amount of compensation shall be recoverable from him in accordance with law;

This is to authorize and require you, the said Superintendent, to receive the above-named convict into your custody in the said jail, together with this warrant, and to carry into execution the aforesaid sentence, and to keep him safely confined for the period of his sentence, unless and until he shall be released in due course of law upon receipt of any subsequent warrant or order from this Court or any competent superior Court.You are further directed to make necessary entries in the jail record and to report compliance accordingly.

Given under my hand and the seal of this Court on this 16thday of April, 2026.

(Muhammad Yar Gondal)
Sessions Judge, East-Islamabad.